



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,473	02/18/2004	Lee Begeja	2002-0464	4873

26652 7590 01/03/2007
AT&T CORP.
ROOM 2A207
ONE AT&T WAY
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921

EXAMINER

APPIAH, CHARLES NANA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/782,473		BEGEJA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Charles N. Appiah		2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f):
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 29, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Art Unit: 2617

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 10, 19 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lektion et al. (6,996,406).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 19 and 32 Lektion discloses (see Figs. 1-3) a mobile communications device ((150A) and a wireless network node (150B), a communication network and a method comprising: means for determining mobile communications device location (GPS receiver 210), means for linking (encoder/decoder 250) metadata representing mobile communications device location and call related data to audio stream data sent from that mobile communications device for a wireless communications call (see encoder encoding positioning data in the generated identification tones for transmission by the RF transceiver, see col. 34-53, col. 3, lines 40-45 and col. 5, lines 12-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-26, 28-29, 32-37, 39, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ayoub et al. (6,477,363) in view of Britt et al. (6,647,267)**.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Ayoub discloses a mobile communications device (1) and a wireless network node comprising: means (GPS 12) for determining mobile

Art Unit: 2617

communications device location (GPS module calculating the position of the mobile telephone resulting in a data item for longitude and latitude, see col. 4, lines 7-15), means for linking metadata representing mobile communications device location to audio stream data sent from that mobile communications device for a wireless communications call (position being translated into audio tones and transmitted through voice channel of the telephone when the communication between the mobile phone and the authority is established, see col. 4, lines 20-35). Ayoub fails to explicitly teach linking metadata representing the determined mobile communications device location and call related data to audio stream data.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Britt discloses a cellular terminal for transmitting predefined information and current location information using a cellular signal to a receiving station (see col. 1, lines 42-60). According to Britt the predefined information can include data pertaining to the cellular telephone user such as a child's home telephone number as well as personal data (see col. 2, line 45 to col. 3, line 36).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Britt with Ayoub in order to provide the supplying of pertinent personal information and location information that would assist in providing emergency services as taught by Britt.

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for determining comprises a processing technique selected from the group consisting of GPS location determination, wireless network signal triangulation location determination, and serving cell identification determination (GPS module calculating the

position of the mobile telephone resulting in a data item for longitude and latitude, see col. 4, lines 7-15, lines 48-57).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for linking includes the metadata as in-band information along with the audio stream data (stream of tones being (tones being sent via the voice channel, see col. 4, lines 30-31).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for linking includes the metadata as out-of-band information along with the audio stream data (*tones being sent through the control channel during the setup of the call connection, see col. 4, lines 33-35).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for linking operates in a repetitive and periodic manner during the course of the wireless communications call to link the metadata (controller in the cellular telephone sending updated position at the constant interval while the emergency call is in progress as the caller is communicating with the authority, see col. 4, lines 44-47).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Ayoub further discloses wherein the determined location is an identification of a cell currently serving the mobile communications device and the means for linking operates to link in response to detected changes in the currently serving cell (using cellular triangulation or method using position data obtained from the cell towers the cellular telephone is communicating with as well as sending updated position at constant interval while the emergency call is in progress, see col. 3, lines 30-36, col. 4, line 44-57).

Regarding claim 8, Ayoub further discloses wherein the metadata includes a time stamp in addition to the determined location (position data being stored in a controller together with a time stamp representing the time of position acquisition, see col. 4, lines 12-15).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, Ayoub's teaching of a DID number which corresponds to a location (see col. 3, lines 14-18), but fails to explicitly teach wherein the call related data is selected from the group consisting of a call record, called/calling party, and billing identification.

Britt discloses wherein the call related data includes predefined information can include data pertaining to the cellular telephone user such as a child's home telephone number as well as personal data (see col. 2, line 45 to col. 3, line 36), which reads on data selected from the group consisting of a call record, called/calling party, and billing identification.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Britt with Ayoub in order to provide the supplying of pertinent personal information and location information that would assist in providing emergency services as taught by Britt.

Regarding claims 17, 28 and 40, Ayoub further discloses wherein the metadata includes a time stamp in addition to the determined location (position data being stored in a controller together with a time stamp representing the time of position acquisition, see col. 4, lines 12-15).

Regarding claims 19 and 32, Ayoub discloses a communications network and a method (see Fig. 1), comprising: a mobile communications device (1), a communications terminal (3), wherein the communications device and communications terminal are connected to a call, which includes audio stream data (communication between the mobile phone and the emergency authority being established, see col. 4, lines 15-35), means for determining location of the mobile communications device (GPS module calculating the position of the mobile telephone resulting in a data item for longitude and latitude, see col. 4, lines 7-15), means for linking metadata representing mobile communications device location to audio stream data sent from that mobile communications device for a wireless communications call (position being translated into audio tones and transmitted through voice channel of the telephone when the communication between the mobile phone and the authority is established, see col. 4, lines 20-35), and means at the communications terminal for extracting the metadata from the audio stream and presenting the location of the mobile communications device (receiving equipment in the authority having a modem and tone detector which extracts the DTMF tones and translates them back into digits representing the position of the cellular telephone, see col. 4, lines 36-42). Ayoub fails to explicitly teach linking metadata representing the determined mobile communications device location and call related data to audio stream data.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Britt discloses a cellular terminal for transmitting predefined information and current location information using a cellular signal to a receiving station (see col. 1, lines 42-60). According to Britt the predefined

Art Unit: 2617

information can include data pertaining to the cellular telephone user such as a child's home telephone number as well as personal data (see col. 2, line 45 to col. 3, line 36).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Britt with Ayoub in order to provide the supplying of pertinent personal information and location information that would assist in providing emergency services as taught by Britt.

Regarding claim 20, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for determining (GPS module calculating the position of the mobile telephone resulting in a data item for longitude and latitude, see col. 4, lines 7-15), and means for linking are located within the mobile communication device (position being translated into audio tones and transmitted through voice channel of the telephone when the communication between the mobile phone and the authority is established, see col. 4, lines 20-35).

Regarding claim 21, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for determining and means for linking are located within a network node of the communications network (see col. 4, lines 7-35).

Regarding claims 22 and 33 Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for determining performs a processing technique selected from the group consisting of GPS location determination, wireless network signal triangulation location determination, and serving cell identification determination (GPS module calculating the position of the mobile telephone resulting in a data item for longitude and latitude, see col. 4, lines 7-15, lines 48-57).

Regarding claims 23 and 34, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for linking includes the metadata as in-band information along with the audio stream data (stream of tones being (tones being sent via the voice channel, see col. 4, lines 30-31).

Regarding claims 24 and 35, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for linking includes the metadata as out-of-band information along with the audio stream data (*tones being sent through the control channel during the setup of the call connection, see col. 4, lines 33-35).

Regarding claims 25 and 36, Ayoub further discloses wherein the means for linking operates in a repetitive and periodic manner during the course of the wireless communications call to link the metadata (controller in the cellular telephone sending updated position at the constant interval while the emergency call is in progress as the caller is communicating with the authority, see col. 4, lines 44-47).

Regarding claims 26 and 37, Ayoub further discloses wherein the determined location is an identification of a cell currently serving the mobile communications device and the means for linking operates to link in response to detected changes in the currently serving cell (using cellular triangulation or method using position data obtained from the cell towers the cellular telephone is communicating with as well as sending updated position at constant interval while the emergency call is in progress, see col. 3, lines 30-36, col. 4, line 44-57).

Regarding claims 29 and 41, Ayoub teaches dialing of a DID number which corresponds to a location (see col. 3, lines 14-18), but fails to explicitly teach wherein

the call related data is selected from the group consisting of a call record, called/calling party, and billing identification.

Britt discloses wherein the call related data includes predefined information can include data pertaining to the cellular telephone user such as a child's home telephone number as well as personal data (see col. 2, line 45 to col. 3, line 36), which reads on data selected from the group consisting of a call record, called/calling party, and billing identification.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Britt with Ayoub in order to provide the supplying of pertinent personal information and location information that would assist in providing emergency services as taught by Britt.

Regarding claim 39, Ayoub further discloses including extracting the metadata from the audio stream data, (receiving equipment in the authority having a modem and tone detector which extracts the DTMF tones and translates them back into digits representing the position of the cellular telephone, see col. 4, lines 36-42), and presenting the location of the mobile communications device (location of caller as generated from mapping information being displayed on a computer screen together with the mapping information, see col. 4, lines 58-67).

7. Claims 7, 16, 27 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ayoub et al** and Britt et al. as applied to claims 1, 10, 20 and 32 above, and further in view of **Williams (6,725,049)**.

Regarding claims 7, 16, 27 and 38 Ayoub as modified by Britt fail to specifically disclose means for encrypting the determined mobile communications device location.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Williams discloses a method and system for disseminating global positioning information through a telecommunications network by injecting the global positioning information into telecommunications between calling and called parties and wherein the positioning information may form the basis for encryption of messages or conversations between parties (see col. 3, lines 34-42, col. 4, lines 7-54).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Williams encryption feature into Ayoub as modified by Britt's system in order to add a level of security to the provision of location information especially in emergency situations.

8. Claims 30, 31 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ayoub et al and Britt et al** as applied to claims 19 and 32 above, and further in view of **Lemelson et al. (6,054,928)**.

Regarding claims 30, 31 and 42 Ayoub as modified by Britt fail to disclose wherein the communications terminal is a surveillance device as well as a recording device connected into and/or to the call for recording the audio stream data and linked metadata.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Lemelson discloses a system and method for tracking and monitoring a prisoner or parolee that includes a monitoring computer that cooperates with a satellite global positioning system to determine a subject's current

Art Unit: 2617

location which is periodically transmitted to the monitoring station for surveillance (see col. 4, lines 51-64, col. 9, lines 36-64). According to Lemelson and as illustrated in Fig. 5, a voice recorder 132 is provided as part of the control center for recording individual voice messages as well as provide voice response messages to security personnel (see col. 14, lines 17-24).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Lemelson's monitoring and tracking system with Ayoub and Britt's system in order to ensure the capability of providing voice response messages as well as recording data such as audio while providing accurate location information in emergency situations as taught by Lemelson.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Preston et al. (6,144,336) discloses a system and method for transmitting location information embedded in communication signals for location determination.

Tendler (6,516,198) discloses a system for location reporting using synthesized voice or digital signaling.

Martin (6,839,552) discloses a system and method for reporting an emergency situation.

Saegusa (6,198,914) discloses an emergency call system.


Raith et al. (6,477,362) discloses a system and method for providing information for emergency services.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles N. Appiah whose telephone number is 571 272-7904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CA


CHARLES APPIAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER